

DPNU-96-07

February 1996

The Unitarity Triangle and Quark Mass Matrices on the NNI Basis

TOSHIAKI ITO

Department of Physics, Nagoya University, Nagoya 464-01, Japan

AND

MORIMITSU TANIMOTO

Science Education Laboratory, Ehime University, Matsuyama 790, Japan

Abstract

We examine the unitarity triangle of the KM matrix by using the general quark mass matrices on the NNI basis. The Fritzsch anzätz is modified by introducing four additional parameters. The KM matrix elements are expressed in terms of quark mass ratios, two phases and four additional parameters. It is found that the vertex of the unitarity triangle is predicted to be almost in the second quadrant on the $\rho - \eta$ plane as far as $V_{us} \simeq -\sqrt{\frac{m_d}{m_s}}e^{ip} + \sqrt{\frac{m_u}{m_c}}e^{iq}$ is held.

§1. Introduction

One of the most important unsolved problems of the flavor physics is the understanding of the flavor mixing and the fermion masses, which are free parameters in the standard model. The observed values of those mixing and masses provide us clues of the origin of the fermion mass matrices. The one of the most strict method for the consideration of quark mass matrices is an examination of the so called unitarity triangle of the Kobayashi-Maskawa(KM) matrix[1]. At present, the unitarity triangle in the $\rho - \eta$ plane[2] is determined by the experimental data of $B \rightarrow X \ell \bar{\nu}_\ell$, ϵ parameter in the neutral K meson system and $B_d - \bar{B}_d$ mixing. However, the experimental allowed region is too wide to determine the point of the vertex in the $\rho - \eta$ plane. The unitarity triangle is expected to be determined precisely in B-factory at KEK and SLAC in the near future. On the other hand, one needs experimental information of six quark masses to give reliable estimate of the KM matrix elements in the quark mass matrix models. The recent discovery of the top quark[3,4] provides us the chance of the precise study of quark mass matrices. Thus, we are now in the epoch to examine quark mass matrices by focusing on the unitarity triangle.

In this paper, we study the unitarity triangle of the KM matrix by using the quark mass matrices on the nearest-neighbor interactions(NNI) basis[5]. Any up- and down- 3×3 quark mass matrices can be always transformed to this basis by a weak-basis transformation. We present general discussions of the unitarity triangle in context with quark mass matrices on the NNI basis. It is likely that the vertex of the unitarity triangle almost comes on the second quadrant of the $\rho - \eta$ plane.

In §2, we give the expression of the KM matrix from general quark mass matrices on the NNI basis. The KM matrix elements are expressed in terms of quark mass ratios, two phases and four additional parameters that stand for the discrepancy

from the Fritzsch ansätze[6]. We show the vertex of the unitarity triangle on the $\rho - \eta$ plane in relation to parameters of the quark mass matrices in §3. Summary is given in §4.

§2. Quark mass matrix on the NNI basis and KM matrix

As presented by Branco, Lavoura and Mota, both up and down quark mass matrices could be always transformed to the non-Hermitian matrices on the NNI basis by a weak-basis transformation for the three and four generation cases[5]. In this basis, the KM matrix elements are expressed generally in terms of mass matrix parameters due to eight texture zeros. In particular, phases of the mass matrices can be isolated easily as shown later. The famous Fritzsch ansätze is the special one of the NNI basis. Therefore, the discrepancy from the Fritzsch ansätze can be estimated simply on this basis. We begin with discussing the general quark mass matrices on the NNI basis as follows:

$$M_u = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & A & 0 \\ B & 0 & C \\ 0 & D & E \end{pmatrix}, \quad M_d = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & F & 0 \\ G & 0 & H \\ 0 & I & J \end{pmatrix}, \quad (1)$$

where $A \sim J$ are c -numbers. The matrices U_u, U_d are defined as unitarity matrices which diagonalize the Hermitian matrices $H_u = M_u M_u^\dagger$ and $H_d = M_d M_d^\dagger$:

$$U_u^\dagger H_u U_u = D_u, \quad U_d^\dagger H_d U_d = D_d, \quad (2)$$

where

$$D_u = \begin{pmatrix} m_u^2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & m_c^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & m_t^2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad D_d = \begin{pmatrix} m_d^2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & m_s^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & m_b^2 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3)$$

On the NNI basis, we can extract phases from each quark mass matrix by use of the diagonal phase matrices. Then, we can write

$$U_u = \phi_u O_u \quad , \quad U_d = \phi_d O_d \quad (4)$$

where $\phi_u = \text{diag.}(e^{ip_u}, e^{iq_u}, 1)$, $\phi_d = \text{diag.}(e^{ip_d}, e^{iq_d}, 1)$ and O_u, O_d are orthogonal matrices. We define the phase matrix Φ :

$$\Phi = \phi_u^* \phi_d \quad (5)$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} e^{ip} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{iq} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (6)$$

where $p = p_d - p_u$ and $q = q_d - q_u$. So the KM matrix is given by:

$$V_{KM} = O_u^T \Phi O_d. \quad (7)$$

The Fritzsche ansätze of the quark mass matrix is the simplest one on the NNI basis:

$$M_u = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a_u & 0 \\ a_u & 0 & b_u \\ 0 & b_u & c_u \end{pmatrix}, \quad M_d = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a_d & 0 \\ a_d & 0 & b_d \\ 0 & b_d & c_d \end{pmatrix}. \quad (8)$$

Although this ansätze is successful for the V_{us} element as follows:

$$V_{us} \simeq -\sqrt{\frac{m_d}{m_s}} e^{ip} + \sqrt{\frac{m_u}{m_c}} e^{iq}, \quad (9)$$

it fails for V_{cb}

$$V_{cb} \simeq \sqrt{\frac{m_s}{m_b}} e^{iq} - \sqrt{\frac{m_c}{m_t}}, \quad (10)$$

as far as $m_t \geq 100\text{GeV}$. Now this simplest ansätze has been ruled out since the top-quark mass is found to be larger than 160GeV [3,4]. On the other hand, another

ansätze proposed by Branco et al.[7]:

$$M_u = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a_u & 0 \\ a_u & 0 & b_u \\ 0 & c_u & c_u \end{pmatrix}, \quad M_d = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a_d & 0 \\ a_d & 0 & b_d \\ 0 & c_d & c_d \end{pmatrix}, \quad (11)$$

is successful not only for the V_{us} element:

$$V_{us} \simeq -\frac{1}{4\sqrt{2}}\sqrt{\frac{m_d}{m_s}}e^{ip} + \frac{1}{4\sqrt{2}}\sqrt{\frac{m_u}{m_c}}e^{iq}, \quad (12)$$

but also for V_{cb} :

$$V_{cb} \simeq \frac{m_s}{m_b}e^{iq} - \frac{m_c}{m_t}. \quad (13)$$

Although this ansätze overcomes the fault of the Fritzsch ansätze, it cannot reproduce the observed ratio of $|V_{ub}|/|V_{cb}|$. So if we describe the unitarity triangle by use of the ansätze by Branco et al., the vertex of the triangle is plotted outside of the experimental allowed region on the $\rho - \eta$ plane, as shown in Fig.1. Here, in order to describe the experimental allowed region, we use the following data[8,9],

$$B_K = 0.076 \pm 0.04, \quad (14)$$

$$\frac{|V_{ub}|}{|V_{cb}|} = 0.08 \pm 0.02, \quad (15)$$

$$x_d = 0.19 \pm 0.01. \quad (16)$$

Therefore we should consider more general matrices in order to examine the unitarity triangle. We adopt a weak hypothesis: the generation hierarchy of the matrix elements, which was guaranteed in the Fritzsch ansätze due to the observed mass hierarchy,

$$M_{12} \approx M_{21} \ll M_{23} \approx M_{32} \ll M_{33}. \quad (17)$$

The quark mass matrices are set in general as

$$M_u = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a_u & 0 \\ a_u z & 0 & b_u \\ 0 & b_u x & c_u \end{pmatrix}, \quad M_d = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a_d & 0 \\ a_d w & 0 & b_d \\ 0 & b_d y & c_d \end{pmatrix}, \quad (18)$$

where x , y , z and w are parameters that stand for discrepancies from the Fritzsch mass matrix. Because of the hierarchy of mass matrix elements, we may restrict the parameter region:

$$0.1 \leq x, y, z, w \leq 10. \quad (19)$$

We comment on the number of parameters. There are twelve parameters in the mass matrices. Since the number of the physical parameters are ten (six masses and four KM mixing parameters), the basis in Eq.(18) is general one. In order to give predictions, one needs some assumption on the parameters of the mass matrices.

In order to obtain the elements of $O_{u(d)}$ in Eq.(4), we express $a_{u(d)}$, $b_{u(d)}$ and $c_{u(d)}$ in terms of quark masses from eigenvalue equations of $H_{u(d)}$. For example, the eigenvalue equation for c_u is written as

$$c_u^4 - 2M_1 c_u^2 + \frac{(1+x^2)^2(1+z^2)}{x^2 z} \sqrt{M_3} c_u + M_1^2 - \frac{(1+x^2)^2}{x^2} M_2 = 0 \quad (20)$$

where

$$M_1 = m_u^2 + m_c^2 + m_t^2, \quad (21)$$

$$M_2 = m_u^2 m_c^2 + m_c^2 m_t^2 + m_t^2 m_u^2, \quad (22)$$

$$M_3 = m_u^2 m_c^2 m_t^2. \quad (23)$$

Neglecting the third term of Eq.(20), which is very small, we obtain c_u

$$c_u \simeq m_t \left(1 - \frac{1+x^2}{2x} \frac{m_c}{m_t} \right). \quad (24)$$

Furthermore, we get

$$a_u \simeq \sqrt{\frac{m_u m_c}{z}} \left(1 + \frac{1+x^2}{4x} \frac{m_c}{m_t} \right), \quad (25)$$

$$b_u \simeq \sqrt{\frac{m_c m_t}{x}} \left(1 - \frac{1+z^2}{4z} \frac{m_u}{m_c} \right). \quad (26)$$

Then the matrix elements of O_u are written as

$$(O_u)_{11} \simeq 1/N_{u_1}, \quad (27)$$

$$(O_u)_{12} \simeq -\frac{1}{\sqrt{z}} \sqrt{\frac{m_u}{m_c}} (1 + R_u^{ct} + r_u^{ct} + r_u^{uc})/N_{u_2}, \quad (28)$$

$$(O_u)_{13} \simeq \sqrt{\frac{x}{z}} \frac{m_c}{m_t} \sqrt{\frac{m_u}{m_t}} (1 + R_u^{ct} - R_u^{uc})/N_{u_3}, \quad (29)$$

$$(O_u)_{21} \simeq \frac{1}{\sqrt{z}} \sqrt{\frac{m_u}{m_c}} (1 - R_u^{ct} + r_u^{uc})/N_{u_1}, \quad (30)$$

$$(O_u)_{22} \simeq 1/N_{u_2}, \quad (31)$$

$$(O_u)_{23} \simeq \frac{1}{\sqrt{x}} \sqrt{\frac{m_c}{m_t}} (1 - R_u^{uc} + r_u^{ct})/N_{u_3}, \quad (32)$$

$$(O_u)_{31} \simeq -\frac{1}{\sqrt{xz}} \sqrt{\frac{m_u}{m_c}} (1 + R_u^{ct} - R_u^{uc} + r_u^{uc})/N_{u_1}, \quad (33)$$

$$(O_u)_{32} \simeq -\frac{1}{\sqrt{x}} \sqrt{\frac{m_c}{m_t}} (1 - R_u^{uc} + r_u^{ct})/N_{u_2}, \quad (34)$$

$$(O_u)_{33} \simeq 1/N_{u_3} \quad (35)$$

where $N_{u_i} (i = 1, 2, 3)$ are normalization factors and

$$R_u^{uc} = \frac{1 + z^2}{4z} \frac{m_u}{m_c}, \quad R_u^{ct} = \frac{1 + x^2}{4x} \frac{m_c}{m_t}, \quad (36)$$

$$r_u^{uc} = \frac{1 - z^2}{2z} \frac{m_u}{m_c}, \quad r_u^{ct} = \frac{1 - x^2}{2x} \frac{m_c}{m_t}. \quad (37)$$

The matrix elements for O_d are given analogously. Here we neglect the terms of order of m_u/m_t , m_d/m_b and higher order corrections. Thus the KM matrix elements are expressible in terms of quark mass ratios, two phases and four additional parameters x, y, z, w :

$$V_{ud} \simeq \frac{1}{N_{ud}} e^{ip}, \quad (38)$$

$$\begin{aligned} V_{us} &\simeq \frac{1}{N_{us}} \left[-\sqrt{\frac{m_d}{wm_s}} (1 + R_d^{sb} + r_d^{sb} + r_d^{ds}) e^{ip} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sqrt{\frac{m_u}{zm_c}} (1 - R_u^{ct} + r_u^{uc}) e^{iq} \right], \end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

$$V_{ub} \simeq \frac{1}{N_{ub}} \left[\sqrt{\frac{m_u}{xz m_t}} (1 + R_u^{ct} - R_u^{uc} + r_u^{uc}) \right], \quad (40)$$

$$V_{cd} \simeq \frac{1}{N_{cd}} \left[-\sqrt{\frac{m_u}{zm_c}} (1 + R_u^{ct} + r_u^{ct} + r_u^{uc}) e^{ip} \right. \\ \left. + \sqrt{\frac{m_d}{wm_s}} (1 - R_d^{sb} + r_d^{sb}) e^{iq} \right], \quad (41)$$

$$V_{cs} \simeq \frac{1}{N_{cs}} e^{iq}, \quad (42)$$

$$V_{cb} \simeq \frac{1}{N_{cb}} \left[\sqrt{\frac{m_s}{ym_b}} (1 - R_d^{sb} + r_d^{sb}) e^{iq} - \sqrt{\frac{m_c}{xm_t}} (1 - R_u^{uc} + r_u^{ct}) \right], \quad (43)$$

$$V_{td} \simeq \frac{1}{N_{td}} \left[\sqrt{\frac{m_d}{ywm_b}} (1 + R_d^{sb} - R_d^{ds} + r_d^{ds}) \right], \quad (44)$$

$$V_{ts} \simeq \frac{1}{N_{ts}} \left[\sqrt{\frac{m_c}{xm_t}} (1 - R_u^{uc} + r_u^{ct}) e^{iq} - \sqrt{\frac{m_s}{ym_b}} (1 - R_d^{ds} + r_d^{sb}) \right], \quad (45)$$

$$V_{tb} \simeq \frac{1}{N_{tb}}, \quad (46)$$

where N 's are normalization factors such as $N_{us} = N_{u_1} N_{d_2}$ etc..

§3. Unitarity Triangle and Quark Mass Matrix Parameters

In order to estimate the absolute values of KM matrix elements $|V_{ij}|$, we must know the values of the masses of six quarks on a same energy scale. Following the recent study by Koide[10], we obtain the values of quark masses at 1GeV by use of the 2-loop renormalization group equation:

$$m_u = 0.0056 \pm 0.011, \quad m_d = 0.0099 \pm 0.0011, \quad m_s = 0.199 \pm 0.033, \\ m_c = 1.316 \pm 0.024, \quad m_b = 5.934 \pm 0.101, \quad m_t = 349.5 \pm 27.9 \text{ (GeV)},$$

where $\Lambda_{\overline{MS}}^{(5)} = 0.195 \text{ GeV}$.

Now we can estimate of KM matrix elements. At first, we set $z = w = 1$, which corresponds to the Fritzsch ansätze for the first- and second-generation mixing sector since this ansätze works well for $|V_{us}|$. It is remarked that the following results

are available for all models which express $|V_{us}|$ as $-\sqrt{\frac{m_d}{m_s}}e^{ip} + \sqrt{\frac{m_u}{m_c}}e^{iq}$ because our KM matrix elements in Eq.(39) are expressed generally.

Since we examine the unitarity triangle which is normalized by $V_{cb}^*V_{cd}$, we should choose parameters that reproduce the experimental values of $|V_{cb}|$ and $|V_{cd}|$. So we use two observed values[9],

$$|V_{cd}| = 0.2196 \pm 0.0018 \quad , \quad |V_{cb}| = 0.040 \pm 0.005 \quad (47)$$

as input parameters. In the numerical analyses, we use the center values of these experimental data. The ambiguity of our predictions due to experimental errors is rather small. For given values of x and q , we can obtain the value of parameter y by use of Eq.(43). In order to reproduce $|V_{cb}|$, we cannot set $x = y = 1$, that means the unsuccess of the Fritzsch ansätze. In Fig.2, the allowed lines for $|V_{cb}|$ are shown on the $x - y$ plane in the case of $q = 0$. We find that the phase q is restricted to $-60^\circ \leq q \leq 60^\circ$ due to the condition $0.1 \leq y \leq 10$. Furthermore, we obtain the value of the phase p from Eq.(41). Since p is a real number, the value of x is also restricted for each value of q such as the following examples:

$$\begin{aligned} q = \pm 60^\circ & : & 2.0 \leq x \leq 10, \\ q = \pm 30^\circ & : & 1.0 \leq x \leq 10, \\ q = 0^\circ & : & 0.1 \leq x \leq 10. \end{aligned}$$

Thus if x and q are fixed, we can calculate the KM matrix elements.

Let us present the unitarity triangle. If the phase q is fixed, the vertex of the triangle moves on the $\rho - \eta$ plain according to the change of parameter x . We show the changes of the vertices by the dotted lines for fixed value of q . Fig.3 shows such lines of the cases $q = -60^\circ, -30^\circ, -10^\circ, 0^\circ, 10^\circ, 30^\circ$ and 60° . It is found that the triangle vertex is sensitive to the value of x in the $x \leq 1$ region, but insensitive to x in the large x region. If $-60^\circ \leq q \leq 17^\circ$, a part of the dotted line comes

into the experimental allowed region on the $\rho - \eta$ plane. The triangle vertices are found to be almost on the second quadrant of the $\rho - \eta$ plane. If the phase q is in between -3° and 16° and x is small, the triangle vertex is in the experimental allowed region on the first quadrant. The regions of x that the vertex comes on the first quadrant are shown for each q value in the Table 1. It is emphasized that the vertex of the unitarity triangle is almost on the second quadrant of the $\rho - \eta$ plane as far as $z = w = 1$, namely, $V_{us} \simeq -\sqrt{\frac{m_d}{m_s}}e^{ip} + \sqrt{\frac{m_u}{m_c}}e^{iq}$ is held.

Next, we consider the cases of $z = w \neq 1$. Due to the condition that p is a real number, $z = w \leq 1.3$ is restricted. In the region of $1 \leq z = w \leq 1.3$, each line of Fig.3 moves down to the right side. So, in these cases, the predicted region of the vertex in the first quadrant, becomes wider than the case of $z = w = 1$. While in the region of $z = w \leq 1$, the each line of Fig.3 moves up to the left side. The triangle vertices never come in the allowed region on the first quadrant in the case of $z = w \leq 0.9$. Fig.4 and 5 show the cases of $z = w = 1.3$ and $z = w = 0.7$, respectively. Thus, we conclude that the vertex of the unitarity triangle almost appears in the second quadrant on $\rho - \eta$ plane as far as $z = w$ is assumed.

What is the condition that the vertex of the unitarity triangle appears in the first quadrant on $\rho - \eta$ plane? As seen in Eqs.(40) and (44), V_{ub} and V_{td} are written roughly as follows:

$$V_{ub} \simeq \sqrt{\frac{m_u}{xz m_t}}, \quad V_{td} \simeq \sqrt{\frac{m_d}{ywm_b}}. \quad (48)$$

Due to $m_u \sim m_d$ and $m_t \gg m_b$, the magnitude of V_{td} is expected to be larger than the one of V_{ub} for the case of $x \sim y$ and $w \sim z$. This fact suggests us that conditions $w > 1$ and $z < 1$ are necessary in order to move the vertex of the unitarity triangle into the first quadrant on $\rho - \eta$ plane. Actually, we get the vertex in the first quadrant on $\rho - \eta$ plane for some cases of $w > 1$ and $z < 1$. For example, we show

one case in Fig.6, in which $x = 1.0$, $y = 2.8$, $z = 0.4$, $w = 2.0$, $p = 128.2^\circ$ and $q = 0^\circ$ are taken. Then, V_{us} is not any longer expressed as in the simple form in Eq.(9).

§4. Summary

In this paper, we examine the unitarity triangle by using the general quark mass matrices on the NNI basis. For quark mass matrices, we introduce four additional parameters x , y , z and w , which are restricted $0.1 \leq x, y, z, w \leq 10$ due to the assumed generation hierarchy. Then the KM matrix elements are written in terms of quark mass ratios, two phases and four parameters. In the case of $z = w$, the vertex of the unitarity triangle almost comes on the second quadrant of the $\rho - \eta$ plane as shown in Fig.3, 4 and 5. If the vertex of the unitarity triangle is determined in the neighborhood of the vertex of Fig.6 at B -factory, we cannot set $z = w$. In particular, the quark mass matrix models which predict $V_{us} \simeq -\sqrt{\frac{m_d}{m_s}}e^{ip} + \sqrt{\frac{m_u}{m_c}}e^{iq}$ will be ruled out. Thus, the determination of the unitarity triangle gives impact on the study of the quark mass matrix model.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank Prof. Y. Koide for helpful comments. This research is supported by the Grant-in-Aid for Science Research, Ministry of Education, Science and Culture, Japan(No. 07640413).

References

- [1] M. Kobayashi and Maskawa, Prog. Theor. Phys. **49**, 652(1973).
- [2] L. Wolfenstein, Phys. Rev. Lett. **51**, 1945(1983).
- [3] CDF Collaboration, F. Abe et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **73**, 225(1994).
- [4] D0 Collaboration, S. Abachi et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **74**, 2632(1995).
- [5] G. C. Branco, L. Lavoura and F. Mota, Phys. Rev. **D39**, 3443(1989).
- [6] H. Fritzsch, Phys. Lett. **73B**, 317(1978); Nucl. Phys. **B115**, 189(1979).
- [7] G. C. Branco and J.I. Silva-Marcos, Phys. Lett. **331B**, 390(1994).
- [8] S. Hashimoto(JLQCD Collaboration),
Talk at the meeting of Particle Physics in future, YITP, 19 January 1996.
- [9] Particle Data Group, L. Montanet et al., Phys. Rev. **D50**, 1173(1994).
- [10] Y. Koide, preprint in University of Shizuoka, US-94-05(1994)(unpublished).

Table Caption

Tab.1 : The allowed region of x , in which the vertex of the unitarity triangle is in the first quadrant of the $\rho - \eta$ plane.

Figure Captions

Fig.1 : The unitarity triangle in the ansätze by Branco et al..

Fig.2 : The relation between x and y at $q = 0^\circ$. The solid line is the case of $|V_{cb}| = 0.040$. The upper (lower) dashed line is the case of $|V_{cb}| = 0.035$ ($|V_{cb}| = 0.045$).

Fig.3 : The vertices of the unitarity triangle in the case of $z = w = 1$. Each dotted line is the case of $q = +60^\circ, +30^\circ, +10^\circ, 0^\circ, -10^\circ, -30^\circ, -60^\circ$ from the upper side, respectively. The dots of $q = 0^\circ$ and $\pm 10^\circ$ lines correspond to $x = 0.1, 0.2, 0.4, 0.6, 0.8, 1.0, 2.0, 4.0, 6.0, 8.0, 10.0$ in order from the right hand side. The dots of $q = \pm 30^\circ$ lines correspond to $x = 1.0, 2.0, 3.0, 4.0, 5.0, 6.0, 7.0, 8.0, 9.0, 10.0$ in order from the right hand side. The dots of $q = \pm 60^\circ$ lines correspond to $x = 4.0, 5.0, 6.0, 7.0, 8.0, 9.0, 10.0$ in order from the right hand side.

Fig.4 : The vertices of the unitarity triangle in the case of $z = w = 1.3$. Each dotted line is the case of $q = +60^\circ, +30^\circ, +10^\circ, 0^\circ, -10^\circ, -30^\circ$ from the upper side, respectively.

Fig.5 : The vertices of the unitarity triangle in the case of $z = w = 0.7$. Each dotted line is the case of $q = +60^\circ, +30^\circ, +10^\circ, 0^\circ, -10^\circ, -30^\circ, -60^\circ$ from the upper side, respectively.

Fig.6 : One case in which the vertex of the unitarity triangle comes on the center of the first quadrant of the $\rho - \eta$ plane.

Tab.1

values of phase q	regions of x
$q = -3^\circ$	$x \leq 0.101$
$q = 0^\circ$	$x \leq 0.108$
$q = 5^\circ$	$x \leq 0.12$
$q = 7^\circ$	$x \leq 0.14$
$q = 10^\circ$	$x \leq 0.18$
$q = 12^\circ$	$x \leq 0.21$
$q = 14^\circ$	$x \leq 0.25$
$q = 16^\circ$	$x \leq 0.31$